

Integrable boundary conditions for the q -deformed extended Hubbard model

Xiang-Yu Ge *

Centre for Mathematical Physics, Department of Mathematics, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Qld 4072, Australia

Integrable open-boundary condition for the q -deformed Essler-Korepin-Schoutens extended Hubbard model of strongly correlated electrons, are studied in the framework of the boundary quantum inverse scattering method. Diagonal boundary K -matrices are found, and nine classes of integrable boundary terms are determined.

PACS numbers: 71.20.Fd, 75.10.Jm, 75.10.Lp

One-dimensional strongly correlated electron systems with boundaries are of great importance because of their promising role in theoretical condensed matter physics and possibly in high- T_c superconductivity [1]. Boundary conditions and nontrivial boundary interactions for such systems, which are compatible with integrability in the bulk, are constructed from solutions of the graded reflection equations [2], and have attracted much attention recently in connection with physical problems like X -ray edge singularities [3], orthogonalities catastrophe [4] and tunneling through constrictions [5] in quantum wires. In particular, open boundaries and boundary fields for the Hubbard-like models [6–9] and for the supersymmetric $t - J$ model [10–12] have been studied in connection with this. The results of the present letter may well have interesting applications to these problems.

In this letter, we shall construct the open-boundary conditions for the q -deformed Essler-Korepin-Schoutens extended Hubbard model (EKS model) [1] which preserve the integrability of the model. This is achieved by solving the graded reflection equations for the diagonal boundary K -matrices.

Let $c_{j,\sigma}$ and $c_{j,\sigma}^\dagger$ denote fermionic creation and annihilation operators for spin σ at site j , which satisfy the anti-commutation relations $\{c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger, c_{j,\tau}\} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{\sigma\tau}$, where $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ and $\sigma, \tau = \uparrow, \downarrow$. We consider the open-boundary q -deformed EKS model with Hamiltonian of the form:

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} H_{j,j+1}^Q + H_{lt}^{\text{boundary}} + H_{rt}^{\text{boundary}}, \quad (1)$$

where H_{lt}^{boundary} (H_{rt}^{boundary}) stand for left (right) boundary terms whose explicit forms are spelled out below, and $H_{j,j+1}^Q$ is the bulk Hamiltonian density of the q -deformed EKS model:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{j,j+1}^Q = & \sum_{\alpha} (c_{j,\alpha}^\dagger c_{j+1,\alpha} + \text{h.c.}) (1 - n_{j,-\alpha} - n_{j+1,-\alpha}) \\ & + c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger c_{j+1,\downarrow} c_{j+1,\uparrow} + c_{j+1,\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j+1,\downarrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow} c_{j\uparrow} - S_j^+ S_{j+1}^- - S_j^- S_{j+1}^+ \\ & - q^{-1} ((n_{j\uparrow} - n_{j\downarrow})^2 + n_{j+1,\uparrow} n_{j+1,\downarrow} - n_{j\uparrow} n_{j+1,\downarrow}) \\ & - q ((n_{j+1,\uparrow} - n_{j+1,\downarrow})^2 + n_{j\uparrow} n_{j\downarrow} - n_{j+1,\uparrow} n_{j\downarrow}), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where q is a free parameter, $n_j = n_{j,\uparrow} + n_{j,\downarrow}$ with $n_{j,\sigma} = c_{j,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma}$ being the density operator for the fermion of species σ at site j , and $S^+ = c_\downarrow^\dagger c_\uparrow, S^- = c_\uparrow^\dagger c_\downarrow$. We omit the details of calculating the above Hamiltonian, but remark that the four states of the vector irrep of the quantum algebra underlying the model, $U_q[gl(2|2)]$, are identified with the electronic states

$$|0\rangle, \quad |\uparrow\rangle = c_\uparrow^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad |\downarrow\rangle = c_\downarrow^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle = c_\downarrow^\dagger c_\uparrow^\dagger |0\rangle. \quad (3)$$

The model defined by (2) is $U_q[gl(2|2)]$ supersymmetric and is exactly solvable on the one-dimensional periodic lattice. This is because the local Hamiltonian $H_{j,j+1}^Q$ is actually derived through the QISM using a $U_q[gl(2|2)]$ invariant R -matrix. To show this, we denote the generators of $U_q[gl(2|2)]$ by E_ν^μ , $\mu, \nu = 1, 2, 3, 4$ with grading

*E-mail: xg@maths.uq.edu.au

$[[1]] = [[2]] = 0$, $[[3]] = [[4]] = 1$. In a typical 4-dimensional representation $V(\Lambda)$ of $U_q[gl(2|2)]$, the highest weight is $\Lambda = (1, 0|0, 0)$. Let $\{|x\rangle\}_{x=1}^4$ denote an orthonormal basis with $|1\rangle, |2\rangle$ even (bosonic) and $|3\rangle, |4\rangle$ odd (fermionic). Then the simple generators $\{E_j^i\} = e_{ij}(i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are 4×4 supermatrices. Associated with $U_q[gl(2|2)]$ there is a graded coproduct structure $\Delta : U_q[gl(2|2)] \rightarrow U_q[gl(2|2)] \otimes U_q[gl(2|2)]$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(E_\mu^\mu) &= I \otimes E_\mu^\mu + E_\mu^\mu \otimes I, \quad \mu = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ \Delta(E_2^1) &= E_2^1 \otimes q^{\frac{1}{2}(E_1^1 - E_2^2)} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}(E_1^1 - E_2^2)} \otimes E_2^1, \quad \Delta(E_1^2) = E_1^2 \otimes q^{\frac{1}{2}(E_1^1 - E_2^2)} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}(E_1^1 - E_2^2)} \otimes E_1^2, \\ \Delta(E_3^2) &= E_3^2 \otimes q^{\frac{1}{2}(E_2^2 + E_3^3)} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}(E_2^2 + E_3^3)} \otimes E_3^2, \quad \Delta(E_2^3) = E_2^3 \otimes q^{\frac{1}{2}(E_2^2 + E_3^3)} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}(E_2^2 + E_3^3)} \otimes E_2^3, \\ \Delta(E_4^3) &= E_4^3 \otimes q^{\frac{1}{2}(E_4^4 - E_3^3)} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}(E_4^4 - E_3^3)} \otimes E_4^3, \quad \Delta(E_3^4) = E_3^4 \otimes q^{\frac{1}{2}(E_4^4 - E_3^3)} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}(E_4^4 - E_3^3)} \otimes E_3^4. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Under the coproduct action the graded tensor product $V \otimes V$ is also a $U_q[gl(2|2)]$ module which reduces completely: $V(\Lambda) \otimes V(\Lambda) = V(\Lambda_1) \oplus V(\Lambda_2)$, where $V(\Lambda_1)$ and $V(\Lambda_2)$ are 8-dimensional modules. Associated with each 8-dimensional representation, there is a $U_q[gl(2|2)]$ -invariant R -matrix which satisfies the graded Yang-Baxter equation. The R -matrix is given by

$$\check{R}(u) = \check{P}_1 + \frac{1 - q^{u+2}}{q^u - q^2} \check{P}_2, \quad (5)$$

where $\check{P}_a : \check{P}_a[V(\Lambda) \otimes V(\Lambda)] = V(\Lambda_a)$, $a = 1, 2$, are two projection operators which may be constructed as

$$\check{P}_1 = \sum_{k=1}^8 |\Psi_k^1\rangle \langle \Psi_k^1|, \quad \check{P}_2 = \sum_{k=1}^8 |\Psi_k^2\rangle \langle \Psi_k^2|. \quad (6)$$

Here $|\Psi_k^a\rangle$, $a = 1, 2$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ are basis vectors for $V(\Lambda_a)$. Throughout this letter,

$$\langle \Psi_k^a | = (|\Psi_k^a\rangle)^\dagger, \quad (|x\rangle \otimes |y\rangle)^\dagger = (-1)^{[[x]][[y]]} \langle y| \otimes \langle x| \quad (7)$$

with $[[x]] = 0$ for even (bosonic) $|x\rangle$, and $[[x]] = 1$ for odd (fermionic) $|x\rangle$. The basis vectors $|\Psi_k^1\rangle$, $|\Psi_k^2\rangle$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ are chosen as

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_1^1\rangle &= |1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle, \quad |\Psi_2^1\rangle = |2\rangle \otimes |2\rangle, \\ |\Psi_i^1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q + q^{-1}}} (q^{\frac{1}{2}} |i-1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle + q^{-\frac{1}{2}} |1\rangle \otimes |i-1\rangle), \quad i = 3, 4, 5, \\ |\Psi_i^1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q + q^{-1}}} (q^{\frac{1}{2}} |i-3\rangle \otimes |2\rangle + q^{-\frac{1}{2}} |2\rangle \otimes |i-3\rangle), \quad i = 6, 7, \\ |\Psi_8^1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q + q^{-1}}} (q^{\frac{1}{2}} |4\rangle \otimes |3\rangle - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} |3\rangle \otimes |4\rangle), \\ |\Psi_1^2\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q + q^{-1}}} (q^{\frac{1}{2}} |3\rangle \otimes |4\rangle + q^{-\frac{1}{2}} |4\rangle \otimes |3\rangle), \\ |\Psi_i^2\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q + q^{-1}}} (q^{\frac{1}{2}} |1\rangle \otimes |i\rangle - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} |i\rangle \otimes |1\rangle), \quad i = 2, 3, 4, \\ |\Psi_i^2\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q + q^{-1}}} (q^{\frac{1}{2}} |2\rangle \otimes |i-2\rangle - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} |i-2\rangle \otimes |2\rangle), \quad i = 5, 6, \\ |\Psi_7^2\rangle &= |3\rangle \otimes |3\rangle, \quad |\Psi_8^2\rangle = |4\rangle \otimes |4\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

On the L -fold tensor product space $V \otimes V \otimes \dots \otimes V$ we denote $\check{R}(u)_{j,j+1} = I^{\otimes(j-1)} \otimes \check{R}(u) \otimes I^{\otimes(L-j-1)}$, and define the local Hamiltonian by

$$H_{j,j+1}^R = \frac{d}{du} \check{R}_{j,j+1}(u) \Big|_{u=0} \quad (9)$$

We make the identifications:

$$|1\rangle = |0\rangle, \quad |2\rangle = c_{j,\downarrow}^\dagger c_{j,\uparrow}^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad |3\rangle = c_{j,\uparrow}^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad |4\rangle = c_{j,\downarrow}^\dagger |0\rangle. \quad (10)$$

Then by (6), (8) (7) and (10), and after tedious but straightforward manipulation, we get, up to an additive constant,

$$H_{j,j+1}^Q = -\frac{q-q^{-1}}{\ln q} H_{j,j+1}^R. \quad (11)$$

This identity also shows that the bulk part of H with $H_{j,j+1}^Q$ as in (2), commutes with the generators $E_j^i = e_{ij}(i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ of $U_q[gl(2|2)]$, since the R -matrix $\tilde{R}(u)$ is $U_q[gl(2|2)]$ invariant.

Now we propose the following nine classes of boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case (i): } H_{lt}^{\text{boundary}} &= 2 \sinh \gamma \left(\left(1 - \frac{e^{-\frac{\xi_-^I}{2}\gamma}}{2 \sinh \frac{\xi_-^I}{2}\gamma}\right) n_{1\uparrow} n_{1\downarrow} - n_1 \right), \\ H_{rt}^{\text{boundary}} &= -2 \sinh \gamma \left(\left(1 - \frac{e^{-\frac{\xi_+^I}{2}\gamma}}{2 \sinh \frac{\xi_+^I}{2}\gamma}\right) n_{L\uparrow} n_{L\downarrow} - n_L \right); \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case (ii): } H_{lt}^{\text{boundary}} &= -2 \sinh \gamma \left(\frac{e^{\frac{\xi_-^{II}}{2}\gamma}}{2 \sinh \frac{\xi_-^{II}}{2}\gamma} n_{1\uparrow} + n_{1\downarrow} - n_{1\uparrow} n_{1\downarrow} \right), \\ H_{rt}^{\text{boundary}} &= 2 \sinh \gamma \left(\frac{e^{\frac{\xi_+^{II}}{2}\gamma}}{2 \sinh \frac{\xi_+^{II}}{2}\gamma} n_{L\uparrow} + n_{L\downarrow} - n_{L\uparrow} n_{L\downarrow} \right); \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case (iii): } H_{lt}^{\text{boundary}} &= -\frac{e^{\frac{\xi_-^{III}}{2}\gamma} \sinh \gamma}{\sinh \frac{\gamma \xi_-^{III}}{2}} (n_{1\uparrow} + n_{1\downarrow} - 2n_{1\uparrow} n_{1\downarrow}), \\ H_{rt}^{\text{boundary}} &= -\frac{e^{\frac{\xi_+^{III}}{2}\gamma} \sinh \gamma}{\sinh \frac{\gamma \xi_+^{III}}{2}} (n_{L\uparrow} + n_{L\downarrow} - 2n_{L\uparrow} n_{L\downarrow}); \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case (iv): } H_{lt}^{\text{boundary}} &= 2 \sinh \gamma \left(\left(1 - \frac{e^{-\frac{\xi_-^I}{2}\gamma}}{2 \sinh \frac{\xi_-^I}{2}\gamma}\right) n_{1\uparrow} n_{1\downarrow} - n_1 \right), \\ H_{rt}^{\text{boundary}} &= 2 \sinh \gamma \left(\frac{e^{\frac{\xi_+^{II}}{2}\gamma}}{2 \sinh \frac{\xi_+^{II}}{2}\gamma} n_{L\uparrow} + n_{L\downarrow} - n_{L\uparrow} n_{L\downarrow} \right); \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case (v): } H_{lt}^{\text{boundary}} &= -2 \sinh \gamma \left(\frac{e^{\frac{\xi_-^{II}}{2}\gamma}}{2 \sinh \frac{\xi_-^{II}}{2}\gamma} n_{1\uparrow} + n_{1\downarrow} - n_{1\uparrow} n_{1\downarrow} \right), \\ H_{rt}^{\text{boundary}} &= -2 \sinh \gamma \left(\left(1 - \frac{e^{-\frac{\xi_+^I}{2}\gamma}}{2 \sinh \frac{\xi_+^I}{2}\gamma}\right) n_{L\uparrow} n_{L\downarrow} - n_L \right); \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case (vi): } H_{lt}^{\text{boundary}} &= 2 \sinh \gamma \left(\left(1 - \frac{e^{-\frac{\xi_-^I}{2}\gamma}}{2 \sinh \frac{\xi_-^I}{2}\gamma}\right) n_{1\uparrow} n_{1\downarrow} - n_1 \right), \\ H_{rt}^{\text{boundary}} &= -\frac{e^{\frac{\xi_+^{III}}{2}\gamma} \sinh \gamma}{\sinh \frac{\gamma \xi_+^{III}}{2}} (n_{L\uparrow} + n_{L\downarrow} - 2n_{L\uparrow} n_{L\downarrow}); \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case (vii): } H_{lt}^{\text{boundary}} &= -\frac{e^{\frac{\xi_-^{III}}{2}\gamma} \sinh \gamma}{\sinh \frac{\gamma \xi_-^{III}}{2}} (n_{1\uparrow} + n_{1\downarrow} - 2n_{1\uparrow} n_{1\downarrow}), \\ H_{rt}^{\text{boundary}} &= -2 \sinh \gamma \left(\left(1 - \frac{e^{-\frac{\xi_+^I}{2}\gamma}}{2 \sinh \frac{\xi_+^I}{2}\gamma}\right) n_{L\uparrow} n_{L\downarrow} - n_L \right); \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case (viii): } H_{lt}^{\text{boundary}} &= -2 \sinh \gamma \left(\frac{e^{\frac{\xi_-^{II}}{2} \gamma}}{2 \sinh \frac{\xi_-^{II}}{2} \gamma} n_{1\uparrow} + n_{1\downarrow} - n_{1\uparrow} n_{1\downarrow} \right), \\ H_{rt}^{\text{boundary}} &= -\frac{e^{\frac{\xi_+^{III}}{2} \gamma} \sinh \gamma}{\sinh \frac{\gamma \xi_+^{III}}{2}} (n_{L\uparrow} + n_{L\downarrow} - 2n_{L\uparrow} n_{L\downarrow}); \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case (ix): } H_{lt}^{\text{boundary}} &= -\frac{e^{\frac{\xi_-^{III}}{2} \gamma} \sinh \gamma}{\sinh \frac{\gamma \xi_-^{III}}{2}} (n_{1\uparrow} + n_{1\downarrow} - 2n_{1\uparrow} n_{1\downarrow}), \\ H_{rt}^{\text{boundary}} &= 2 \sinh \gamma \left(\frac{e^{\frac{\xi_+^{II}}{2} \gamma}}{2 \sinh \frac{\xi_+^{II}}{2} \gamma} n_{L\uparrow} + n_{L\downarrow} - n_{L\uparrow} n_{L\downarrow} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where $\xi_{\pm}^I, \xi_{\pm}^{II}, \xi_{\pm}^{III}$ are parameters describing boundary effects. As will be shown below, all nine classes of boundary conditions lead to integrable models.

Quantum integrability of the system defined by Hamiltonian (2) with any of the nine boundary conditions (12–20) can be established as follows by means of the supersymmetric boundary QISM [2,13–16]. We first search for boundary K -matrices which satisfy the graded reflection equations [16]:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}(u_1 - u_2) \overset{1}{K}_-(u_1) R_{21}(u_1 + u_2) \overset{2}{K}_-(u_2) &= \overset{2}{K}_-(u_2) R_{12}(u_1 + u_2) \overset{1}{K}_-(u_1) R_{21}(u_1 - u_2), \\ R_{12}(-u_1 + u_2) \overset{1}{K}_+(u_1) \overset{1}{M}^{-1} R_{21}(-u_1 - u_2) \overset{1}{M} \overset{2}{K}_+(u_2) &= \overset{1}{M} \overset{2}{K}_+(u_2) R_{12}(-u_1 - u_2) \overset{1}{M}^{-1} \overset{1}{K}_+(u_1) R_{21}(-u_1 + u_2), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where $\overset{1}{X} \equiv X \otimes 1$ and $\overset{2}{X} \equiv 1 \otimes X$, for any matrix $X \in \text{End}(V)$. $R(u)$ is the quantum R -matrix of the q -deformed EKS model: $R(u) \equiv P \tilde{R}(u)$, with $\tilde{R}(u)$ as in (5), and $R_{21}(u) = P_{12} R_{12}(u) P_{12}$ with P being the graded permutation operator.

In order to describe integrable systems with boundary conditions different from periodic ones, we first solve the reflection equations for the two boundary K -matrices $K_{\pm}(u)$. For our purpose, we only look for solutions where $K_{\pm}(u)$ are diagonal. After complicated algebraic manipulations, we find three different diagonal boundary K -matrices, $K_-^I(u)$, $K_-^{II}(u)$, $K_-^{III}(u)$, which solve the first reflection equation in (21):

$$K_-^I(u) = \frac{1}{(\sinh \frac{\gamma \xi_-^I}{2})^2} \begin{pmatrix} A_-^I(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_-^I(u) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_-^I(u) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & C_-^I(u) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (22)$$

$$K_-^{II}(u) = \frac{1}{(\sinh \frac{\gamma \xi_-^{II}}{2})^2} \begin{pmatrix} A_-^{II}(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_-^{II}(u) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_-^{II}(u) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & C_-^{II}(u) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (23)$$

and

$$K_-^{III}(u) = \frac{1}{\sinh \frac{\gamma \xi_-^{III}}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} A_-^{III}(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_-^{III}(u) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_-^{III}(u) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B_-^{III}(u) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_-^I(u) &= e^{-\gamma u} \sinh \frac{\gamma(\xi_-^I + u)}{2} \sinh \frac{\gamma(-u + \xi_-^I)}{2}, \\ B_-^I(u) &= (\sinh \frac{\gamma(\xi_-^I + u)}{2})^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_-^I(u) &= e^{\gamma u} \sinh \frac{\gamma(\xi_-^I + u)}{2} \sinh \frac{\gamma(-u + \xi_-^I)}{2}, \\
A_-^{II}(u) &= e^{-\gamma u} \sinh \frac{\gamma(\xi_-^{II} + u)}{2} \sinh \frac{\gamma(-u + \xi_-^{II})}{2}, \\
B_-^{II}(u) &= (\sinh \frac{\gamma(\xi_-^{II} + u)}{2})^2, \\
C_-^{II}(u) &= e^{\gamma u} \sinh \frac{\gamma(\xi_-^{II} + u)}{2} \sinh \frac{\gamma(-u + \xi_-^{II})}{2}, \\
A_-^{III}(u) &= e^{-\frac{\gamma u}{2}} \sinh \frac{\gamma(-u + \xi_-^{III})}{2}, \\
B_-^{III}(u) &= e^{\frac{\gamma u}{2}} \sinh \frac{\gamma(u + \xi_-^{III})}{2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

As is shown in [16,17], the R -matrix (5) satisfies the crossing-unitarity condition. The corresponding K -matrices $K_+^I(u)$, $K_+^{II}(u)$ and $K_+^{III}(u)$ which obey the second reflection equation in (21) may be derived by isomorphism:

$$K_+^I(u) = MK_-^I(-u), \quad K_+^{II}(u) = MK_-^{II}(-u), \quad K_+^{III}(u) = MK_-^{III}(-u) \tag{26}$$

where M is the so-called crossing matrix given by:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\gamma} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{2\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{27}$$

Therefore we may choose the boundary K -matrices $K_+^I(u)$, $K_+^{II}(u)$ and $K_+^{III}(u)$ as

$$K_+^I(u) = \begin{pmatrix} A_+^I(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_+^I(u) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_+^I(u) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & D_+^I(u) \end{pmatrix} \tag{28}$$

$$K_+^{II}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} A_+^{II}(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_+^{II}(u) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_+^{II}(u) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & C_+^{II}(u) \end{pmatrix} \tag{29}$$

and

$$K_+^{III}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} A_+^{III}(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_+^{III}(u) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_+^{III}(u) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & D_+^{III}(u) \end{pmatrix} \tag{30}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
A_+^I(u) &= (\sinh \frac{\gamma(u - 2 + \xi_+^I)}{2})^2, \\
B_+^I(u) &= e^{-2\gamma} (\sinh \frac{\gamma(u + 2 - \xi_+^I)}{2})^2, \\
C_+^I(u) &= e^{(-2-u)\gamma} \sinh \frac{\gamma(u - 2 + \xi_+^I)}{2} \sinh \frac{\gamma(-u - 2 + \xi_+^I)}{2}, \\
D_+^I(u) &= e^{-u\gamma} \sinh \frac{\gamma(u - 2 + \xi_+^I)}{2} \sinh \frac{\gamma(-u - 2 + \xi_+^I)}{2}, \\
A_+^{II}(u) &= e^{\gamma u} \sinh \frac{\gamma(u + \xi_+^{II})}{2} \sinh \frac{\gamma(-u + \xi_+^{II})}{2},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_+^{II}(u) &= e^{-2\gamma} \left(\sinh \frac{\gamma(u - \xi_+^{II})}{2} \right)^2, \\
C_+^{II}(u) &= e^{(-u)\gamma} \sinh \frac{\gamma(u + \xi_+^{II})}{2} \sinh \frac{\gamma(-u + \xi_+^{II})}{2}, \\
A_+^{III}(u) &= e^{\frac{\gamma u}{2}} \sinh \frac{\gamma(u + 4 + \xi_+^{III})}{2}, \\
B_+^{III}(u) &= e^{\frac{\gamma(u-4)}{2}} \sinh \frac{\gamma(u + 4 - \xi_+^{III})}{2}, \\
C_+^{III}(u) &= e^{-\frac{\gamma(u+4)}{2}} \sinh \frac{\gamma(-u + 4 + \xi_+^{III})}{2}, \\
D_+^{III}(u) &= e^{-\frac{\gamma u}{2}} \sinh \frac{\gamma(-u + 4 + \xi_+^I)}{2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

It can be checked explicitly that these K_+ matrices constitute solutions to the second reflection equation in (21).

We form the boundary transfer matrix $t(u)$:

$$t(u) = \text{str}[K_+(u)T_-(u)K_-(u)T_-^{-1}(-u)], \quad T_-(u) = R_{0L}(u) \cdots R_{01}(u). \tag{32}$$

Since $K_{\pm}(u)$ can be taken as $K_{\pm}^I(u)$ or $K_{\pm}^{II}(u)$ or $K_{\pm}^{III}(u)$, respectively, we have nine possible choices of the boundary transfer matrices:

$$\begin{aligned}
t^i(u) &= \text{str}[K_+^I(u)T_-(u)K_-^I(u)T_-^{-1}(-u)], \\
t^{ii}(u) &= \text{str}[K_+^{II}(u)T_-(u)K_-^{II}(u)T_-^{-1}(-u)], \\
t^{iii}(u) &= \text{str}[K_+^{III}(u)T_-(u)K_-^{III}(u)T_-^{-1}(-u)], \\
t^{iv}(u) &= \text{str}[K_+^{II}(u)T_-(u)K_-^I(u)T_-^{-1}(-u)], \\
t^v(u) &= \text{str}[K_+^I(u)T_-(u)K_-^{II}(u)T_-^{-1}(-u)], \\
t^{vi}(u) &= \text{str}[K_+^{III}(u)T_-(u)K_-^I(u)T_-^{-1}(-u)], \\
t^{vii}(u) &= \text{str}[K_+^I(u)T_-(u)K_-^{III}(u)T_-^{-1}(-u)], \\
t^{viii}(u) &= \text{str}[K_+^{III}(u)T_-(u)K_-^{II}(u)T_-^{-1}(-u)], \\
t^{ix}(u) &= \text{str}[K_+^{II}(u)T_-(u)K_-^{III}(u)T_-^{-1}(-u)].
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

which reflects the fact that the boundary conditions on the left end and on the right end of the open lattice chain are independent. Substituting these expressions into the boundary transfer matrix $t(u)$, and after a lengthy but straightforward algebraic calculation, one finds

$$t(u) = C_1 u + C_2(H + \text{const.})u^2 + \cdots, \tag{34}$$

where $C_i (i = 1, 2, \dots)$ are some scalar functions of the boundary constant ξ_+ . Then it can be shown that up to some additive constant, the boundary Hamiltonians with the boundary conditions (12–20) are related to the second derivative of the corresponding boundary transfer matrix [18]:

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= -\frac{q - q^{-1}}{\ln q} H^R, \\
H^R &= \frac{t''(0)}{4(V + 2W)} = \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} H_{j,j+1}^R + \frac{1}{2} K'_-(0) + \frac{1}{2(V + 2W)} \left[\text{str}_0 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ K_+ \end{smallmatrix} (0) G_{L0} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \text{str}_0 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ K'_+ \end{smallmatrix} (0) H_{L0}^R \right) + \text{str}_0 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ K_+ \end{smallmatrix} (0) (H_{L0}^R)^2 \right) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
V &= \text{str}_0 K'_+(0), \quad W = \text{str}_0 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ K_+ \end{smallmatrix} (0) H_{L0}^R \right), \\
H_{i,j}^R &= P_{i,j} R'_{i,j}(0), \quad G_{i,j} = P_{i,j} R''_{i,j}(0).
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

If we make the identification $q = e^\gamma$, we then see that the Hamiltonians (1) of the q -deformed EKS model corresponding to all nine boundary conditions can be determined from the above nine boundary transfer matrices, respectively. We thus arrive at the nine possible cases (12–20), all of which are compatible with the bulk integrability.

The author is supported by OPRS and UQPRS. He would like to express his sincere thanks to A.J.Bracken for his encouragement. His appreciation also goes to Y.-Z. Zhang and H.-Q. Zhou for suggesting and useful dicussions.

-
- [1] F.H.L. Essler, V.E. Korepin and K. Schoutens, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68** (1992) 2960; **70** (1993) 73.
 - [2] E.K. Sklyanin, J. Phys. **A**: Math.Gen. **21** (1988) 2375.
 - [3] F.H.L. Essler and H. Frahm, Phys. Rev. **B56** (1997) 6631.
 - [4] V. Meden, P. Schmitteckert and Nic Shannon, Phys. Rev. **B57** (1988) 8878.
 - [5] A.G. Abanov and P.B. Wiegmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78** (1997) 4103.
 - [6] H. Asakawa and M. Suzuki, J. Phys. **A**: Math. Gen. **29** (1996) 225.
 - [7] M. Shiroishi and M. Wadati, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn **66** (1997) 1 .
 - [8] O. Tsuchiya and T. Yamamoto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn **66** (1997) 1950.
 - [9] G. Bedurftig and H. Frahm, J. Phys. **A**: Math. Gen. **30** (1997) 4413.
 - [10] A. González-Ruiz, Nucl. Phys. **B424** (1994) 468.
 - [11] F.H.L. Essler, J. Phys. **A**: Math. Gen. **29** (1996) 6183.
 - [12] H. Asakawa and M. Suzuki, Int.J.Mod. Phys. **B 11** (1997) 1137.
 - [13] L. Mezincescu and R. Nepomechie, J. Phys. **A**: Math. Gen. **24** (1991) L17.
 - [14] H.J. de Vega and A. González-Ruiz, J. Phys. **A**: Math. Gen. **26** (1993) L519.
 - [15] H.-Q. Zhou, Phys. Rev. **B54** (1996) 41; ibid **B53** (1996) 5089.
 - [16] A.J. Bracken, X.-Y. Ge, Y.-Z. Zhang and H.-Q. Zhou, Nucl. Phys. **B 516** (1998) 588 .
 - [17] J.R. Links and M.D. Gould, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B10** (1996) 3461.
 - [18] Y.-Z. Zhang and H.-Q. Zhou, Phys. Rev. **B58** (1998) 51.